RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 3 1 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Earl R. Bailey

319 S. Jonesville, Montpelier, Ohio 43543-1340

March 25, 2005 04:22 PM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Earl R. Bailey

No. of Copies rec'd O